

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/809,291	03/15/2001	Elazar Sonnenschein	0-05-201	5422	
7590 06/09/2006			EXAMINER		
Kevin D. McCarthy			LEUBECKER, JOHN P		
Roach Brown McCarthy & Gruber, P.C. 420 Main Street - 1620 Liberty Building			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Buffalo, NY 14202			3739		
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ameliandian Na	(A					
	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summer	09/809,291	SONNENSCHEIN ET AL.					
Office Action Summ ry	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John P. Leubecker	3739					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	arch 2006						
<u></u>	action is non-final.						
·=							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
dissed in assertance with the practice under 2	A parte quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53 and 63-67</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53 and 63-67</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
·· _							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		` '					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical state.</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>all 7</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Ite atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/809,291

Art Unit: 3739

## Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on March 20, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. In view of the amendments to the claims filed March 20, 2006, the restriction requirement of February 16, 2006 is hereby withdrawn. All pending claims will be examined.

## **Drawings**

3. Figures 4A, 4B, 27 and 32 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated (note pages 23 and 26 of the specification). See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/809,291 Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

5. Claims 7-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

As to claim 7, the term "conventional endoscopic devices and accessories" is vague and

indefinite since what is conventional varies from one person to another and Applicant does not

specifically define in the specification what he considers the scope of such term. In anticipation

of correction made to claim 7, claim 8 would have to be amended accordingly.

As to claim 9, term "positioning assembly" is indefinite as it is unclear whether such term

refers to the positioning assembly previously recited or is intended to refer to another structure.

As to claim 10, term "anvil" lacks antecedent basis.

As to claim 12, terms "wall" and "body" lack antecedent basis.

As to claim 13, term "external wall" lacks antecedent basis.

As to claim 16, terms "distal element" (this is probably referring to the element located

on the distal bending portion) and "distal tip" lack antecedent basis.

As to claim 17, terms "distal element", "outer wall" and "distal tip" lack antecedent basis.

As to claim 18, terms "distal portion" and "distal tip" lack antecedent basis.

As to claim 19, terms "distal portion", "outer wall" and "distal tip" lack antecedent basis.

As to claim 20, line 6, "distal tip" lacks antecedent basis.

Due to the numerous defects, Applicant is required to review and correct these and all

other defects existing in the remaining claims.

Double Patenting

Application/Control Number: 09/809,291 Page 4

Art Unit: 3739

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 20-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,872,214. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct. Although the patented claims do not explicitly refer to "circuitry", such circuitry is implied with the claimed structure defining the positioning device as set forth in claims 21-23 of the patent. For example, circuitry would be necessarily required for detecting light or radio frequency signals.

### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kortenback (U.S. Pat. 6,312,437)

Laufer (U.S. Pat. 6,506,196)

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Leubecker whose telephone number is (571) 272-4769. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leubecker

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

jpl